

**REMARKS**

At the outset, the Examiner is thanked for the thorough review and consideration of the pending application. The Office Action dated February 24, 2009 has been received and its contents carefully reviewed.

In the Office Action, claims 11-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 11-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant's admitted state of the prior art (hereinafter "AAPA") in view of Japanese Patent JP 05-107533 (hereinafter "'533 patent").

With this response, claims 11-13 have been amended. No new matter has been added.

Accordingly, claims 11-16 are currently pending, of which claims 1-10 are withdrawn from consideration in this application.

In the Office Action, claims 11-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 11 has been amended to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Accordingly, Applicants submit that claim 11 and claims 12-16 which depend either directly or indirectly upon claim 11, fully comply with the written description requirement of 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, and respectfully requests that thesis rejection be withdrawn.

The rejection of claims 11-16 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over AAPA in view of '533 patent' is respectfully traversed and reconsideration is requested.

Independent claim 11 is allowable over AAPA in view of '533 patent' in that claim 11 recites a combination of elements including, for example, "moving the table and supplying a sealant on the first dummy aligning plate through a syringe of a dispenser to form a first alignment pattern; detecting a first image of the first alignment pattern by a first image camera and displaying the first image of the first alignment pattern; moving the table in forward/backward and left/right directions through a first controller of the alignment controller in order to make the first alignment pattern and the first reference position coincide with each other; moving the table and supplying the sealant on the second dummy aligning

plate through the syringe of the dispenser to form a second alignment pattern; moving the table in forward/backward and left/right directions so that the second dummy aligning plate is positioned at a lower side of the second image camera in order to detect a second image of the second alignment pattern through the second image camera and display the second image of the second alignment pattern; moving the second image camera in forward/backward and left/right directions through the second controller of the alignment controller in order to make the second alignment pattern and the second reference position coincide with each other; and unloading the first and second dummy aligning plates and loading a mother substrate with a plurality of image display parts formed thereon onto the table to form seal patterns using the aligned dispenser.”

The Office admits that AAPA does not teach the presence of a second dummy substrate. See Office Action at page 4.

Applicant respectfully asserts that ‘533 patent does not cure the deficiencies of AAPA. The Office asserts that it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skilled in the art to provide two dummy substrates, one for each of the two substrates that will be joined in opposing contact, and to provide these with alignment marks according to the known prior art process disclosed by Applicant. One skilled in the art would have been motivated to do so by the desire and expectation of providing alignment marks on both dummy substrates simultaneously.

However, ‘533 patent teaches away from and does not suggest “moving the table in forward/backward and left/right directions through a first controller of the alignment controller in order to make the first alignment pattern and the first reference position coincide with each other; moving the table and supplying the sealant on the second dummy aligning plate through the syringe of the dispenser to form a second alignment pattern; moving the table in forward/backward and left/right directions so that the second dummy aligning plate is positioned at a lower side of the second image camera in order to detect a second image of the second alignment pattern through the second image camera and display the second image of the second alignment pattern; moving the second image camera in forward/backward and left/right directions through the second controller of the alignment controller in order to make the second alignment pattern and the second reference position coincide with each other; and unloading the first and second dummy aligning plates and loading a mother substrate with a plurality of image display parts formed thereon onto the table to form seal patterns using the aligned dispenser” as recited in independent claim 11.

Accordingly, none of the cited references, singly or in combination, teaches or suggests the features of the present invention. For at least these reasons, Applicant respectfully requests that the Office withdraw the 35 U.S.C. 103(a) rejection of independent claim 11. Claims 12-16 depend from independent claim 11. It stands to reason that the 35 U.S.C. 103(a) rejections of those dependent claims should be withdrawn as well.


Applicants believe the application is in condition for allowance and early, favorable action is respectfully solicited.

If for any reason the Examiner finds the application other than in condition for allowance, the Examiner is requested to call the undersigned attorney at (202) 496-7500 to discuss the steps necessary for placing the application in condition for allowance. All correspondence should continue to be sent to the below-listed address.

If these papers are not considered timely filed by the Patent and Trademark Office, then a petition is hereby made under 37 C.F.R. §1.136, and any additional fees required under 37 C.F.R. §1.136 for any necessary extension of time, or any other fees required to complete the filing of this response, may be charged to Deposit Account No. 50-0911. Please credit any overpayment to deposit Account No. 50-0911.

Dated: May 20, 2009

Respectfully submitted,



Eric J. Nuss

Registration No.: 40,106

McKENNA LONG & ALDRIDGE LLP

1900 K Street, N.W.

Washington, DC 20006

(202) 496-7500

Attorneys for Applicant